UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/565,712	01/22/2007	Jean-Michel Cazenave	Serie 6353	7930	
⁴⁰⁵⁸² American Air L	7590 03/28/201 iquide, Inc.	1	EXAM	IINER	
Intellectual Prop	perty Dept.		FOX, JOHN C		
2700 Post Oak I Suite 1800	Boulevard		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Houston, TX 77	7056		3753		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/28/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/565,712	CAZENAVE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John Fox	3753	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communic ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 2a) ■ This action is FINAL . 2b) ■ The 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal ma	•	ts is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☑ Claim(s) 6-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 6-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to ne drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage)
Attachment(s) 1) \(\overline{\text{N}} \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 16, 2009 has been entered.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 6-7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Guido et al (US 4,827,964).

It is believed that the general pertinence of the reference is readily apparent, see MPEP 706, 37 CFR 1.104 (c) (2). Transducer 16 is read as two pressure sensors since it measures both cabin pressure and line pressure. The cabin pressure measured is read as absolute pressure.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guido et al in view of Babin, of record.

Guido shows the claimed device except for a bypass line having a solenoid valve. Babin teaches the use of a bypass line 22 with a safety solenoid valve 52 bypassing regulator 38 in the event of a power loss. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made for one of ordinary skill in the art to have provided the regulator valve 19 of Guido et al with such a bypass line and solenoid valve to similarly bypass the valve in the event of a power loss.

Claims 6, in the alternative, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guido et al.

Measuring absolute cabin pressure in the system of Guido et al is considered and obvious matter of engineering in view of the well known nature of the same. The provision of a cylinder to store the air for supply 34 of Guido et al is considered and obvious matter of design choice in view of the notoriously old and well known nature of air cylinders.

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Fox whose telephone number is 571-272-4912.

Application/Control Number: 10/565,712 Page 4

Art Unit: 3753

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Saturday from 10am-6pm (Hoteling Program).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Hepperle can be reached on 571-272-4913. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/John Fox/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3753